

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/574,034	BUCKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	S. Devi, Ph.D.	1645	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) S. Devi (PTO).

(3) _____

 (2) Attorney Rocque El-Hayek.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 February 2010
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

 If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicants' amendment filed 03/27/06.*
Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

New claim 40

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Attorney El-Hayek was informed of the difficulty in making sense out of the new claim 40, which appears to be an incomplete dependent claim because of the limitation 'method of claim' in line 1. A request was made to file a supplemental preliminary amendment to correct the problem so that a proper search covering the full scope of the claim(s) can be made. Attorney El-Hayek assured the examiner of record that he would file such an amendment shortly.

/S. Devi/
Primary Examiner
AU 1645

02-19-2010